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Decision of the Competition Commission (the ‘Commission’) on the application made by Medical Trading Co. Ltd for immunity under the Amnesty Programme for Resale Price Maintenance.

THE COMMISSION –

Mrs. M. Rajabally - Commissioner,
Mr. C. Seebaluck - Commissioner,
Mrs. V. Bikhoo - Commissioner,

Having regard to the Competition Act 2007,
Having regard to the Competition Commission Rules of Procedure 2009,

Having regard to the Undertakings given by Medical Trading Co. Ltd on 12 November 2018,

Having regard to a report of the Executive Director of the Commission (the 'Executive Director') dated 15 February 2019 on the Undertakings given by Medical Trading Co. Ltd,

We, Commissioners, decide as follows:

Introduction

1.0 This Decision relates to an application for immunity dated 16 October 2017 made by Medical Trading Co. Ltd (the Applicant), through its General Manager, Mr Fabrice Adolphe, pursuant to the Competition Commission’s Amnesty Programme for Resale Price Maintenance (RPM) prescribed under paragraph 5.6A of CCM3 Guidelines on Collusive Agreements. As part of the conditions set out thereunder, undertakings (‘the Undertakings’). have been offered to the Commission by the Applicant on 06 December 2018.

2.0 Having taken cognizance of a report (the Report) of the Executive Director dated 15 February 2019 in respect of this matter, the Commission has determined the present matter under section 59(7) of the Competition Act (the Act), the conditions prescribed under paragraph 5.6A of CCM3 Guidelines on Collusive Agreements and considering in particular, the Undertakings offered pursuant to section 63(3) of the Act.

The law

3.0 Section 43 of the Act prohibits and renders void ‘any vertical agreement between enterprises to the extent that it involves resale price maintenance’. RPM is in turn defined under section 2 of the Act as ‘an agreement between a supplier and a dealer with the object or effect of directly or indirectly establishing
a fixed or minimum price or price level to be observed by the dealer when
reselling a product or service to his customers'.

3.1 An enterprise can only benefit from immunity to financial penalty if it is
involved in conduct(s) that falls within the scope of section 43 of the Act
and satisfies the conditions prescribed for the RPM Amnesty Programme,
that is if it -

3.1.1 admits its participation in an agreement involving RPM;

3.1.2 provides the Commission with all the information, documents
and evidence available to it regarding its RPM conduct;

3.1.3 maintains continuous and complete co-operation until the
conclusion of any action by the Commission in relation to the
matter; and

3.1.4 offers undertakings that satisfactorily address the competition
concerns of the Commission.

3.2 The threshold for accepting undertakings under section 63(3) is that the
Commission must be satisfied that they address "all the concerns it has
about any prevention, restriction [or] distortion (...) of competition".

3.3 Pursuant to section 59 of the Act, the Commission may grant immunity or
leniency to any person in such circumstances as may be prescribed.
Effective from 05th June 2017 until 20th October 2017 inclusively, the
Commission put in place a one-off, time-limited amnesty programme for
any enterprise involved in resale price maintenance by waiving the
restriction at paragraph 5.3 of CCM3 Guidelines on Collusive
Agreements, viz., that only RPM which facilitates a cartel can benefit from
leniency and the associated footnote 3 threat and subject to the
applicant-enterprise fulfilling the conditions prescribed under paragraph
5.6A (b) of the said Guidelines (the 'RPM Amnesty Programme').

Facts

4.0 The Applicant (trading under the brand name 'MedActiv') is a private company
and bears Business Registration Number C07076007. It operates retail
pharmacies and is a reseller of pharmaceutical products, infant milk powder,
para-pharmaceutical products and other products. Its registered office situates
at 15, Reserves Street, Les Salines.

5.0 From the Application and documents in support thereof, it is established that
the Applicant has participated in RPM conduct in the following manner:-

5.1 through price labelling, with price tags affixed on the products, without
mention of the words 'recommended price' from its suppliers.

6.0 The above practice by the Applicant, according to the Executive Director, of
accepting products from the suppliers with pre-determined prices affixed on
same products, may constitute an acquiescence on their part to the policy of Applicant, which may in turn amount to an agreement.

**Investigation and findings**

7.0 Upon receipt of the Application and pursuant to section 51 of the Act, the Executive Director proceeded to investigate (INV042/RPM/048) whether the reported conduct may amount to an RPM within the ambit of section 43 of the Act.

8.0 The Executive Director submitted his Report on the matter to the Commission on 15th February 2019. The Report contains the findings of the Executive Director, his assessment of whether the proposed Undertakings address all the concerns identified by him, and his recommendations in respect of the Application.

9.0 The findings of the Executive Director further to the assessments carried out are that-

9.1 the Application satisfies the conditions set out under paragraph 5.6A of CCM3 Guidelines for RPM amnesty;

9.2 the Applicant in its capacity as reseller of pharmaceutical products, has engaged in a conduct that raises competition concerns under section 43 of the Act.

9.3 the Undertakings offered as part of the Application satisfactorily address all the concerns he has about any prevention, restriction of competition as required under section 63 of the Act, in that the Applicant has undertaken:-

9.3.1 not to, in any manner whatsoever, enter into or otherwise facilitate, either explicitly or implicitly, the implementation of any agreement with the suppliers that involves resale price maintenance;

9.3.2 to inform its suppliers that any resale price on their price lists will be considered as being ‘recommended prices’ and that it shall be free to determine its own resale prices; and

9.3.3 to, where any of its suppliers has recommended a minimum resale price for its goods and the resale price appears on the goods, inform the same suppliers, in writing, that they shall ensure that the words ‘recommended price’ appear next to the resale price.

**Executive Director’s recommendations**

10.0 The Executive Director recommends that the Commission accepts the Undertakings and grant immunity from fines to the Applicant for its participation in the RPM conduct as aforesaid.
Determination

11.0. Having regard to the Application submitted by the Applicant, the concerns which have been identified by the Executive Director in his Report, and the Undertakings offered by the Applicant, the Commission determines that –

11.1 the Applicant has, in its Application, admitted, in clear and unequivocal terms, its participation in one or more RPM agreement(s) viz admission of having participated in or otherwise having engaged in conduct as reseller of pharmaceutical products, that falls within the ambit of section 43 of the Act;

11.2 The Applicant has complied with requirements (ii) and (iii) of paragraph 5.6A(b) of the CCM 3 Guidelines, as stated in the Report; and

11.3 The Undertakings submitted by the Applicant satisfactorily address the Commission’s concerns in so far as it will ensure that Applicant ceases its participation in RPM agreements.

Decision

12.0 The Commission therefore decides as follows:

12.1 We accept that the Applicant satisfies the conditions prescribed under the RPM Amnesty Programme as set out in paragraph 5.6A of the CCM 3 Guidelines on Collusive Agreements.

12.2 We accept the Undertakings offered by the Applicant.

12.3 The Undertakings shall be effective as from the date of this Decision.

12.4 We grant immunity from financial penalty to the Applicant pursuant to section 59(7) of the Act for the period 25 November 2009 to 16 October 2017 only.
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